Software Design: Goals and Attributes

Jim Fawcett CSE687 – Object Oriented Design Spring 2009

Software Development



Strategy:

Concept

Rationale, options

Organizing ideas and structure

- Uses
- Partitions and responsibilities
- Critical issues

Tactics

Implementing ideas and structure

- Activities
- Classes and relationships
- Algorithms
- Data management

Design Goals

Make each software Component:

Simple

- Small functions
- Low Cyclomatic Complexity
- Small number of functions

Understandable

- Self documented
- Descriptive names
- Simple

Maintainable

Simple, flexible, and robust

Selectable

- Capability summary
- Keywords

Reusable

Selectable, understandable, low fan-out (not counting framework lib calls)

Reliable

- Repeatable behavior
- Free of latent errors

Robust

Will not crash with unexpected inputs or environment

Flexible

- Changes don't propagate
- Supports substitution of implementations

Extendable

 Supports addition of new implementations

are Design 3

Simplicity

- Small functions
 - Lines of code ≤ 50
- Low cyclomatic complexity
 - All functions CC ≤ 10
 - Average much lower
- Small number of functions
 - Functions per module ≤ 20
 - Average much lower
- Measurable by size and complexity

```
04/05/2007 08:57:59 AM 13640 Sockets.cpp
_____
  cyclo lines function name
             SocketSystem::GetLastMsg
    3
        14 SocketSystem::SocketSystem
    2
         9 SocketSystem
             SocketSystem::getHostName
            SocketSystem::getIpFromName
    2
             SocketSystem::getNameFromIp
        10
             Socket::Socket
            Socket::Socket
            Socket::Socket
         1 Socket::Socket
            Socket
         6 operator=
        14 Socket::connect
             Socket::disconnect
            operatorSOCKET
        25 Socket::send
             Socket::recv
             Socket::getLocalIP
    2
            Socket::getLocalPort
        12
        12
             Socket::getRemoteIP
             Socket::getRemotePort
        22
            SocketListener::SocketListener
         6 SocketListener
            SocketListener::waitForConnect
         6 SocketListener::stop
         4 SocketListener::getLocalIP
         4 SocketListener::getLocalPort
        97 main
```

04/05/2007 08:57:59 AM 4905 Sockets.h

cyclo lines function name
- type: class SocketSystem
- type: class Socket
 1 1 error
 1 1 getHandle

- type: class SocketListener

Socket is Almost Simple

- Small functions
- Low complexity
- Interface is fairly large
 - 15 member functions
- Couples well with SocketListener

Understandable

- Self documented
 - Manual page
 - read about operations and interface
 - Maintenance page
 - see how to build
 - Test stub
 - see how it works
- Descriptive names
 - Name describes operation or result
- Simple
- Measurable by detecting decorations

```
Tokenizer.h - Reads words from a file
  ver 1.4
   Language:
                Visual C++ 2005
                Dell Dimension 9150, Windows XP SP2
// Platform:
   Application:
                Prototype for CSE687 Pr1, Sp06
                Jim Fawcett, CST 2-187, Syracuse University
   Author:
                (315) 443-3948, jfawcett@twcny.rr.com
Module Operations:
 ______
 This module defines a tokenizer class. Its instances read words from
 an attached file. Word boundaries occur when a character sequence
 read from the file:
 - changes between any of the character types: alphanumeric, punctuator,
   or white space.
 - certain characters are treated as single character tokens, e.g.,
   "(", ")", "{\overline{"}, "}", "[". "]", ";", ".\overline{"}, and "\n".
 A tokenizer is an important part of a scanner, used to read and interpret
 source code.
 Public Interface:
 _____
                              // create tokenizer instance
 Toker t:
 returnComments();
                              // request comments return as tokens
 if(t.attach(someFileName))
                              // select file for tokenizing
                              // extract first token
   string tok = t.getTok();
 int numLines = t.lines();
                              // return number of lines encountered
 t.lines() = 0;
                              // reset line count
```

Tokenizer is Understandable

- Simple model
- Simple interface
- Cohesive
- Couples only to input stream

Maintainable

- Maintenance consists of
 - Fixing latent errors
 - Modifying existing functionality
 - Adding new functionality
- Is maintainable if:
 - Needs no maintenance
 - So simple it obviously has no defects
 - Additions made by composing with new components
 - Easy to fix, modify, and extend
 - Used through interface so changes don't propagate
 - Interface can be bound to new implementations
 - Simple so easy to test
- Only indirectly measurable

```
class IAction
                                  class Parser
public:
                                  public:
  virtual ~IAction() {}
                                    Parser(SemiExp& se);
  virtual void
                                    ~Parser();
  doAction(SemiExp& se) = 0;
                                    void addRule(IRule* pRule);
};
                                    bool parse();
                                    bool next();
class IRule
                                  private:
                                     ITokCollection* pTokColl;
public:
                                    std::vector<IRule*> rules;
  virtual ~IRule() {}
                                  };
  void addAction(IAction*
  pAction);
  void doActions(SemiExp& se);
  virtual bool doTest(SemiExp&
  se) = 0;
protected:
  std::vector<IAction*>
  actions;
};
```

Parser is Maintainable

- Very simple structure
- Very simple operation
- Partitions activities into Parsing, Rules, and Actions
- Very loose coupling
- Example of Open/Closed Principle

Selectable

- Five million lines of code project
 - Has roughly 10, 000 packages
 - Average of 500 lines of code per package
 - 10 functions with 50 lines of code each
- Need ways to find parts to salvage and reuse
 - Need to make quick decisions
 - Localize candidates by functionality or application
 - has operational summaries in prologue and manual page
 - Need to quickly evaluate candidates
 - Easy to build
 - has maintenance information with build process
 - Easy to run
 - has test stub
- Measurable by detecting decorations

```
// blockingQueue.cpp - queue that blocks on deQ of empty queue
// ver 1.0
                                              //
// Language:
             Visual C++, ver 7.1, SP 2
// Platform:
             Dell Dimension 8300, Win XP Pro, SP2
             CSE687 - Object Oriented Design
// Application:
// Author:
             Jim Fawcett, CST 2-187, Syracuse Univ
                                              11
             (315) 443-3948, jfawcett@twcny.rr.com
//
//
```

Module Operations

==========

This module provides a simple thread-safe blocking queue, based on the STL queue container adapter. When a client thread attempts to deQ an empty queue, it will block until another thread enQs an item. This prevents very high CPU utilization while a reading thread spin locks on an empty queue.

```
Public Interface
______
```

*/

```
BQueue<std::string> Q
                            // create blocking queue holding std::strings
O.enO("an item");
                            // push onto queue
std::string str = Q.deQ();
                           // pop from queue
size t s = Q.size();
                            // number of elements in queue
Q.clear()
                            // remove all contents from queue
```

BlockingQueue is Selectable

- Simple functionality
- Simple interface
- Clear Manual Page
- Clear Maintenance Page
- Test Stub
 - Easy to see what BQueue does

Reusable

- Selectable
 - Has prologue and Manual Page
- Understandable
 - Has module operation description
 - Meaningful names
 - Simple structure
- Low fan-out
 - Dependent on very few other components
- Needs no application specific code
 - Uses delegates
 - Provides base class "hook"
- Fan-out and selectable/maintainable are measurable

```
class defProc
public:
  virtual ~defProc() { }
  virtual void dirsProc(const std::string &dir);
  virtual void fileProc(const fileInfo &fi);
};
class navig
public:
  navig(defProc &dp);
                                       // accept user defined proc
                                        // restore user's dir
  ~navig();
  void start(std::string dir, const std::string& fileMask="*.*");
                                       // start dir navigation
  std::string getPath();
private:
  static const int PathBufferSize = 256;
  void walk(const std::string &dir, const std::string& fileMask);
                                       // directory walker
                                        // user's working directory
  std::string userDir ;
  defProc &dp ;
                                       // provides extendable processing
                                            of file and directory names
};
```

Navig is Reusable

- Provides a base class "hook" called defproc
- Application code derives from defproc so that Navig calls application code whenever it encounters a file or directory.

Reliable

- Understandable model
- No surprises
 - Operates according to known model
 - Processing is repeatable
 - No race conditions or deadlocks
- Thoroughly tested
- Probably only measurable "after the fact" by keeping statistics on bugs and testing.

Tokenizer Maintenance

Maintenance History:

ver 1.4: 10 Feb 07

- fixed bug in braceCount to eliminate changing count when brace is in a quoted string or comment

ver 1.3 : 24 Feb 06

- fixed bug in eat comment that hung on ending comment with no newline, by testing for stream state good.

ver 1.2:06 Feb 06

- added stream closing to destructor and attach memeber functions

ver 1.1 : 01 Feb 06

added if test at end of getTok() to avoid returning space after
 C comment as a token

ver 1.0 : 12 Jan 06

- first release

Tokenizer is Reliable

- Code is not simple
 - Many special cases that you may not think of while designing
- It took awhile to get there
- Kept records of bugs and fixes
- Responded to bug reports

Robust

- Will not crash with unexpected inputs or environment
 - Use partitions to isolate processing
 - Interfaces, AppDomains, COM components, controls
 - Use exception handling to trap unexpected events
 - Validate user input, especially strings and paths

Software Design

 Indirectly measurable by looking for partitions, exception handling, and validation code.

```
Parser* ConfigParseToConsole::Build()
  try
    // configure to detect and act on preprocessor statements
   pToker = new Toker;
   pSemi = new SemiExp(pToker);
   pParser = new Parser(*pSemi);
   pPreprocStatement = new PreprocStatement;
   pPrintPreproc = new PrintPreproc;
   pPreprocStatement->addAction(pPrintPreproc);
   pParser->addRule(pPreprocStatement);
    // configure to detect and act on function definitions
   pFunctionDefinition = new FunctionDefinition;
   pPrintFunction = new PrintFunction;
   pFunctionDefinition->addAction(pPrintFunction);
   pPrettyPrintFunction = new PrettyPrintFunction;
   pFunctionDefinition->addAction(pPrettyPrintFunction);
   pParser->addRule(pFunctionDefinition);
    return pParser;
  catch(std::exception& ex)
    std::cout << "\n\n " << ex.what() << "\n\n";
    return 0;
```

ConfigParse is Robust

- Uses try and catch blocks
- Returns exception message consistent with application
 - Uses cout for console application

Flexible

- Changes don't propagate
 - Provide an interface so users don't bind to your implementation
 - Change to some implementation detail won't cause changes to other components
- Supports changes of implementation
 - Interfaces guarantee substituability of any implementing class
 - Template parametrization supports compile-time substitution.
- Weakly measurable, by looking for interfaces and template parametrization.

```
template <thread type type>
class Thread
public:
  Thread(Thread Processing& p);
  ~Thread();
  void start();
  void wait();
  static void wait(HANDLE tHandle);
  unsigned long id();
  HANDLE handle();
  void sleep(long Millisecs);
  void suspend();
  void resume();
  thread priority getPriority();
  void setPriority(thread priority p);
  void endThread(unsigned int exit code);
private:
  Thread Processing* pProc;
  HANDLE hThread;
  static unsigned int    stdcall threadProc(void *pSelf);
  unsigned int threadID;
  thread priority priority;
  // disable copy and assignment
  Thread(const Thread<type>& t);
  Thread<type>& operator=(const Thread<type>& t);
};
```

Thread Class is Flexible

- Template policy supports
 - Stack-based default threads
 - Allows interaction while processing unfolds
 - Heap-based terminating threads
 - Fire-and-forget paradigm

Extendable

- Supports addition of new implementation
 - Use of interface and object factory supports adding new components
 - No changes to users of the interface and factory
 - Parser: easy to add new rules and actions
 - Templates support compile-time substitutability
 - Template policies support customization of behavior
- Weakly measurable, by looking for interfaces and template parametrization

Protocol DLL Demo

```
class protocol {
 public:
  virtual DLL_DECL int getInt() = 0;
  virtual DLL DECL void putInt(int) = 0;
  virtual DLL_DECL std::string passVal(std::string s) = 0;
  virtual DLL_DECL std::string passRef(std::string &s) = 0;
  static DLL_DECL protocol* makeObj();
         // static member object factory
};
extern "C" { DLL_DECL protocol* gMakeObj(); }
         // global object factory
```

Protocol Derived Classes are Extendable

- Use of
 - Interface
 - Object factory
 - DLL packaging

Supports modification with no breakage or rebuilding of clients

Design Attributes

- Abstraction
- Modularity
- Encapsulation
- Hierarchy
- Cohesion
- Coupling

- Locality of reference
- Size and complexity
- Use of objects
- Performance

Abstraction

- Logical model or metaphor used to think about, and analyze, component
 - Toker
 - collect words from a stream
 - SemiExpression
 - group tokens for analysis
 - Parser
 - apply set of grammar rules to each semiExp
 - apply set of actions to each rule

Modularity

- Package abstractions in cohesive packages and modules
 - Parser applies rules
 - Rules do grammar detections
 - Actions respond to detections
 - Configure parser builds parts

Encapsulation

- Hide implemenation behind interface
 - Prevents binding to internal implementation details
 - Helps to prevent propagation of errors
- No non-constant public data
- Return pointers or references to private data only to give access to an object:
 - char& str::operator[](int n); O.K.
 - T* T::clone(); O.K.

Hierarchy

 Layering of responsibility. Each layer hides its decendents

Anal: Application level

Scanner: Processes documents

Parser: Mechanizes processing

Semi: Generates source for processing

Toker: Generates tokens

Hierarchy is a dependency relationship

- Inheritance, composition, aggregation, using

Cohesion

- Cohesive component is focused on a single activity
 - Parser: apply grammar rules to each semiExp
 - Rule: detect a specific gramatical structure
 - Semi: gather tokens for analysis
 - Toker: generate tokens from file

CouplingHow is data passed to functions?

- Narrow coupling
 - Only a few arguments
- Normal coupling
 - Requires no knowledge of the design of arguments or their references
 - No pointers, no structures
- Properly scoped
 - Explicitly entered into scope
 - Passed as argument
 - Declared in local scope
- No assumption coupling

Locality of Reference

- References to local data are easier to understand
 - We see the declaration
 - Know all the qualifiers
- Non local references can be powerful
 - Inheritance: base may be defined elsewhere
 - Composition: Composed may be defined elsewhere
 - Delegates: called functions may be defined
 - elsewhere
- Global data is poster child for non-local reference
 - Hard to understand
 - Not powerful in any sense

Size and Complexity

- Large and complex packages and functions are:
 - Hard to understand
 - Hard to test
 - Hard to maintain
 - Hard to document
- Complex functionality + small simple modules
 - implies Lots of modules
 - implies need for fine-grained configuration control

Use of Objects

- Class is a form of information cluster
 - Provides a simple abstraction
 - Hides possibly complex implementation behind simple interface
 - Provides methods guaranteed to maintain integrity of state data while supporting user's data transformations
 - Class is responsible for managing all its needed resources
 - Manual page and test stub provide a lot of self documentation
- Inheritance, composition, aggregation, and using relationships provide effective modeling tools

Performance

- Performance is determined by:
 - Locality of calls
 - Within process, within machine, within network, across internet
 - Caching
 - Avoid unnecessary calls
 - Algorithms
 - Log, linear, log-linear, power law, exponential
 - Memory foot-print
 - Affects rate of page faults
 - Creation of copies
 - Creation and destruction of objects

Object Oriented Design

- Structuring design with classes and class relationships
 - Develop application-side objects:
 - Executive, WorkingSet (inputs), Analysis, Display
 - Supports reasoning about application
 - Requirements
 - Principles of operation
 - Develop solution-side objects:
 - Socket, SocketListener, BlockHandler
 - Supports reasoning about solution
 - Performance
 - Quality
 - Errors and Test

Design Principles

- LSP supports loose coupling
 - Don't need to bind to concrete names
- OCP demands flexibility and extendability
 - Don't modify, do extend
- **DIP** avoids rigid coupling
 - Depend on abstraction not implementation
- ISP supports cohesion
 - Factor to avoid bloated interfaces with inadvertant coupling of clients

Class Relationships

- Classes support several types of relationships:
 - Inheritance supports substitution
 - Derived classes are subtypes of base class
 - Derived class has access to public and protected, but not private members of base class
 - Composition supports ownership
 - Composed classes provide functionality through their public interfaces
 - Composer has no special access to private or protected members of composed
 - Using provides access to an object
 - Provides access to public members of an object without ownership

Inheritance Relationship

- Inheritance comes in two flavors
 - Inheritance of interface
 - Provides a public contract for service, but no implementation
 - interface ISomeIF { ... } in C#
 - struct ISomeIF { // all pure virtual methods }; in C++
 - Inheritance of implementation
 - Provides a public interface
 - Provides implementation of one or more functions, fields, properties, and/or delegates in C#
 - Provides implementation of one or more functions and/or fields in C++

Inheritance Relationship

- Inheritance
 - "is-a"
 - Supports substitutability (polymorphism)
 - IMsgPass provides contract
 - Allows posting message to any substitute:
 - Executive, Comm, ToolUI, ToolLib
 - Supports inheritance of implementation
 - AWrapper provides:
 - BlockingQueue
 - asynchronous dequeuing on child thread

Inheritance Relationship

- A frequently recurring idiom is to provide three levels:
 - An interface providing a contract for service
 - An abstract class that provides the common part of an implementation for all derived classes
 - Derived concrete classes that complete the functionality provided by the hierarchy
- This is just what the ADAM Prototype does
- Note:

It is considered to be a serious design flaw to have a deep inheritance hierarchy with concrete classes deriving from other concrete classes.

Composition Relationship

- Composition comes in two flavors:
 - Strong Composition supports a strong form of ownership
 - Composed lifetime is same as that of composer
 - Makes an instance of composed a field of composer
 - Supported by C++ but not by C# or Java
 - Weak Composition (Aggregation) supports a weaker form of ownership
 - Composer creates and disposes the composed in member functions
 - Composer holds references to composed objects on the heap
 - Supported by C++, C#, Java

Composition Relationship

- Composition
 - "owned-by", "part-of"
 - Provides layering
 - Supports building incrementally
 - Supports decomposition of testing
 - Provides strong encapsulation

Using Relationship

Using

- "used by"
- References to "used" passed as arguments of a member function
- User not responsible for creation or disposal

Implementation

- A module consists of:
 - Prologue identifying
 - Module
 - Platform
 - Application
 - Author
 - Manual page that discusses
 - Module operations
 - Its public interface
 - Maintanence Page
 - Build process
 - Maintenance History
 - Code structured as interfaces and classes
 - A test stub, e.g., a Main function surrounded by compilation constant guards

Modules in C#

- A GUI module consists of:
 - Three files defining a Form
 - A file containing event handlers
 - A file containing control declarations and designer code
 - A file containing resource information as an XML schema
 - A file providing a Main function that runs the form application
- A Console module consists of:
 - A file containing a class with a Main function
- A Library module consists of:
 - A single file containing one or more classes

Modules in C++

- Managed C++
 - GUI modules, Console Applications, and Library modules have the same structure as C# modules
- Unmanaged (standard native) C++
 - We tend not to build GUI modules in unmanaged C++
 - Console executive modules consist of one file that contains:
 - zero or more classes
 - one global main function
 - Library modules consist of two files
 - Header file with:
 - class declarations
 - inline function definitions
 - template class and function definitions
 - Implementation file with class member definitions

What makes a good implementation?

- Proper Encapsulation
 - No public data
 - Any functions that require design knowledge to call properly are private
- Error Handling
 - Input data is validated, especially strings and paths
 - Use Exception handling
- Make assumptions explicit
 - Use manual page to disclose any assumptions made about callers
- Make low-level modules reusable

What makes a poor implementation?

- Vague or imprecise abstractions:
 - Manual page should be clear, consise, and effective
 - Public interface should be small and consistent with the module's abstraction
- Lack of design modularity, encapsulation, and layering
 - Should have an executive module and server modules
 - Every form should delegate all of its computations to testable libraries
 - Oversize or complex functions
 - Modules and functions with poor cohesion
- Latent defects
- Unhandled exceptions

End of Presentation