

Software Design

Jim Fawcett

CSE687 – Object Oriented Design

Spring 2009

Software Development



■ Strategy:

Concept

- Rationale, options

**Organizing ideas
and structure**

- Uses
- Partitions and responsibilities
- Critical issues

■ Tactics

Implementing ideas and structure

- Activities
- Classes and relationships
- Algorithms
- Data management

Design Goals

Make each software Component:

- **Simple**
 - Small functions
 - Low Cyclomatic Complexity
 - Small number of functions
- **Understandable**
 - Self documented
 - Descriptive names
 - Simple
- **Maintainable**
 - Simple, flexible, and robust
- **Selectable**
 - Capability summary
 - Keywords
- **Reusable**
 - Selectable, understandable, low fan-out (not counting framework lib calls)
- **Reliable**
 - Repeatable behavior
 - Free of latent errors
- **Robust**
 - Will not crash with unexpected inputs or environment
- **Flexible**
 - Changes don't propagate
 - Supports substitution of implementations
- **Extendable**
 - Supports addition of new implementations

Simplicity

- Small functions
 - Lines of code ≤ 50
- Low cyclomatic complexity
 - All functions $CC \leq 10$
 - Average much lower
- Small number of functions
 - Functions per module ≤ 20
 - Average much lower
- Measurable by size and complexity

04/05/2007 08:57:59 AM 13640 Sockets.cpp

```
=====
cyclo lines function name
  3    32 SocketSystem::GetLastMsg
  3    14 SocketSystem::SocketSystem
  2     9 SocketSystem
  1     6 SocketSystem::getHostName
  3    22 SocketSystem::getIpFromName
  2    10 SocketSystem::getNameFromIp

  1     4 Socket::Socket
  1     4 Socket::Socket
  1     5 Socket::Socket
  1     1 Socket::Socket
  1     5 Socket
  1     6 operator=
  3    14 Socket::connect
  1     5 Socket::disconnect
  1     4 operatorSOCKET
  5    25 Socket::send
  5    19 Socket::recv
  1     7 Socket::getLocalIP
  2    12 Socket::getLocalPort
  2    12 Socket::getRemoteIP
  2    12 Socket::getRemotePort

  3    22 SocketListener::SocketListener
  1     6 SocketListener
  1     7 SocketListener::waitForConnect
  1     6 SocketListener::stop
  1     4 SocketListener::getLocalIP
  1     4 SocketListener::getLocalPort
  3    97 main
```

04/05/2007 08:57:59 AM 4905 Sockets.h

```
=====
cyclo lines function name
- type:  class SocketSystem
- type:  class Socket
  1     1 error
  1     1 getHandle
- type:  class SocketListener
```

Socket is Almost Simple

- Small functions
- Low complexity
- Interface is fairly large
 - 15 member functions
- Couples well with SocketListener

Understandable

- Self documented
 - Manual page
 - read about operations and interface
 - Maintenance page
 - see how to build
 - Test stub
 - see how it works
- Descriptive names
 - Name describes operation or result
- Simple
- Measurable by detecting decorations

```

////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
//  Tokenizer.h - Reads words from a file                                     //
//  ver 1.4                                                                    //
//                                                                              //
//  Language:      Visual C++ 2005                                           //
//  Platform:     Dell Dimension 9150, Windows XP SP2                       //
//  Application:   Prototype for CSE687 Pr1, Sp06                             //
//  Author:       Jim Fawcett, CST 2-187, Syracuse University                //
//               (315) 443-3948, jfawcett@twcny.rr.com                       //
////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
/*

```

Module Operations:

=====

This module defines a tokenizer class. Its instances read words from an attached file. Word boundaries occur when a character sequence read from the file:

- changes between any of the character types: alphanumeric, punctuator, or white space.
- certain characters are treated as single character tokens, e.g., "(", ")", "{", "}", "[", "]", ";", ".", and "\n".

A tokenizer is an important part of a scanner, used to read and interpret source code.

Public Interface:

=====

```

Toker t;                // create tokenizer instance
returnComments();      // request comments return as tokens
if(t.attach(someFileName)) // select file for tokenizing
    string tok = t.getTok(); // extract first token
int numLines = t.lines(); // return number of lines encountered
t.lines() = 0;         // reset line count

```


Tokenizer is Understandable

- Simple model
- Simple interface
- Cohesive
- Couples only to input stream

Maintainable

- Maintenance consists of
 - Fixing latent errors
 - Modifying existing functionality
 - Adding new functionality
- Is maintainable if:
 - Needs no maintenance
 - So simple it obviously has no defects
 - Additions made by composing with new components
 - Easy to fix, modify, and extend
 - Used through interface so changes don't propagate
 - Interface can be bound to new implementations
 - Simple so easy to test
- Only indirectly measurable

class IAction

```
{
public:
    virtual ~IAction() {}
    virtual void
        doAction(SemiExp& se)=0;
};
```

class IRule

```
{
public:
    virtual ~IRule() {}
    void addAction(IAction*
        pAction);
    void doActions(SemiExp& se);
    virtual bool doTest(SemiExp&
        se)=0;
protected:
    std::vector<IAction*>
        actions;
};
```

class Parser

```
{
public:
    Parser(SemiExp& se);
    ~Parser();
    void addRule(IRule* pRule);
    bool parse();
    bool next();
private:
    ITokCollection* pTokColl;
    std::vector<IRule*> rules;
};
```

Parser is Maintainable

- Very simple structure
- Very simple operation
- Partitions activities into Parsing, Rules, and Actions
- Very loose coupling
- Example of Open/Closed Principle

Selectable

- Five million lines of code project
 - Has roughly 10, 000 modules
 - Average of 500 lines of code per module
 - 10 functions with 50 lines of code each
- Need ways to find parts to salvage and reuse
 - Need to make quick decisions
 - Localize candidates by functionality or application
 - has operational summaries in prologue and manual page
 - Need to quickly evaluate candidates
 - Easy to build
 - has maintenance information with build process
 - Easy to run
 - has test stub
- Measurable by detecting decorations

BlockingQueue is Selectable

- Simple functionality
- Simple interface
- Clear Manual Page
- Clear Maintenance Page
- Test Stub
 - Easy to see what BQueue does

Reusable

- **Selectable**
 - Has prologue and Manual Page
- **Understandable**
 - Has module operation description
 - Meaningful names
 - Simple structure
- **Low fan-out**
 - Dependent on very few other components
- **Needs no application specific code**
 - Uses delegates
 - Provides base class “hook”
- **Fan-out and selectable/maintainable are measurable**

Navig is Reusable

- Provides a base class “hook” called defproc
- Application code derives from defproc so that Navig calls application code whenever it encounters a file or directory.

Reliable

- Understandable model
- No surprises
 - Operates according to known model
 - Processing is repeatable
 - No race conditions or deadlocks
- Thoroughly tested
- Probably only measurable “after the fact” by keeping statistics on bugs and testing.

Tokenizer Maintenance

Maintenance History:

=====

ver 1.4 : 10 Feb 07

- fixed bug in braceCount to eliminate changing count when brace is in a quoted string or comment

ver 1.3 : 24 Feb 06

- fixed bug in eat comment that hung on ending comment with no newline, by testing for stream state good.

ver 1.2 : 06 Feb 06

- added stream closing to destructor and attach member functions

ver 1.1 : 01 Feb 06

- added if test at end of getTok() to avoid returning space after C comment as a token

ver 1.0 : 12 Jan 06

- first release

Tokenizer is Reliable

- Code is not simple
 - Many special cases that you may not think of while designing
- It took awhile to get there
- Kept records of bugs and fixes
- Responded to bug reports

Robust

- Will not crash with unexpected inputs or environment
 - Use partitions to isolate processing
 - Interfaces, AppDomains, COM components, controls
 - Use exception handling to trap unexpected events
 - Validate user input, especially strings and paths
- Indirectly measurable by looking for partitions, exception handling, and validation code.

```
Parser* ConfigParseToConsole::Build()
{
    try
    {
        // configure to detect and act on preprocessor statements
        pTokenizer = new Tokenizer;
        pSemi = new SemiExp(pTokenizer);
        pParser = new Parser(*pSemi);
        pPreprocStatement = new PreprocStatement;
        pPrintPreproc = new PrintPreproc;
        pPreprocStatement->addAction(pPrintPreproc);
        pParser->addRule(pPreprocStatement);
        // configure to detect and act on function definitions
        pFunctionDefinition = new FunctionDefinition;
        pPrintFunction = new PrintFunction;
        pFunctionDefinition->addAction(pPrintFunction);
        pPrettyPrintFunction = new PrettyPrintFunction;
        pFunctionDefinition->addAction(pPrettyPrintFunction);
        pParser->addRule(pFunctionDefinition);
        return pParser;
    }
    catch (std::exception& ex)
    {
        std::cout << "\n\n  " << ex.what() << "\n\n";
        return 0;
    }
}
```

ConfigParse is Robust

- Uses try and catch blocks
- Returns exception message consistent with application
 - Uses cout for console application

Flexible

- Changes don't propagate
 - Provide an interface so users don't bind to your implementation
 - Change to some implementation detail won't cause changes to other components
- Supports changes of implementation
 - Interfaces guarantee substitutability of any implementing class
 - Template parametrization supports compile-time substitution.
- Weakly measurable, by looking for interfaces and template parametrization.

```
template <thread_type type>
class Thread
{
public:
    Thread(Thread_Processing& p);
    ~Thread();
    void start();
    void wait();
    static void wait(HANDLE tHandle);
    unsigned long id();
    HANDLE handle();
    void sleep(long Millisecs);
    void suspend();
    void resume();
    thread_priority getPriority();
    void setPriority(thread_priority p);
    void endThread(unsigned int exit_code);
private:
    Thread_Processing* pProc;
    HANDLE hThread;
    static unsigned int __stdcall threadProc(void *pSelf);
    unsigned int _threadID;
    thread_priority _priority;
    // disable copy and assignment
    Thread(const Thread<type>& t);
    Thread<type>& operator=(const Thread<type>& t);
};
```

Thread Class is Flexible

- Template policy supports
 - Stack-based default threads
 - Allows interaction while processing unfolds
 - Heap-based terminating threads
 - Fire-and-forget paradigm

Extendable

- Supports addition of new implementation
 - Use of interface and object factory supports adding new components
 - No changes to users of the interface and factory
 - Parser: easy to add new rules and actions
 - Templates support compile-time substitutability
 - Template policies support customization of behavior
- Weakly measurable, by looking for interfaces and template parametrization

Protocol DLL Demo

```
class protocol {  
  
    public:  
        virtual DLL_DECL int getInt() = 0;  
        virtual DLL_DECL void putInt(int) = 0;  
        virtual DLL_DECL std::string passVal(std::string s) = 0;  
        virtual DLL_DECL std::string passRef(std::string &s) = 0;  
        static DLL_DECL protocol* makeObj();  
        // static member object factory  
};  
  
extern "C" { DLL_DECL protocol* gMakeObj(); }  
// global object factory
```

Protocol Derived Classes are Extendable

- Use of
 - Interface
 - Object factory
 - DLL packaging

Supports modification with no breakage or rebuilding of clients

Design Attributes

- Abstraction
- Modularity
- Encapsulation
- Hierarchy
- Cohesion
- Coupling
- Locality of reference
- Size and complexity
- Use of objects
- Performance

Abstraction

- Logical model or metaphor used to think about, and analyze, component
 - Toker
 - collect words from a stream
 - SemiExpression
 - group tokens for analysis
 - Parser
 - apply set of grammar rules to each semiExp
 - apply set of actions to each rule

Modularity

- Package abstractions in cohesive modules
 - Parser applies rules
 - Rules do grammar detections
 - Actions respond to detections
 - Configure parser builds parts

Encapsulation

- Hide implementation behind interface
 - Prevents binding to internal implementation details
 - Helps to prevent propagation of errors
- No non-constant public data
- Return pointers or references to private data only to give access to an object:
 - `char& str::operator[](int n);` O.K.
 - `T* T::clone();` O.K.

Hierarchy

- Layering of responsibility. Each layer hides its decendents
 - Anal: Application level
 - Scanner: Processes documents
 - Parser: Mechanizes processing
 - Semi: Generates source for processing
 - Toker: Generates tokens
- Hierarchy is a dependency relationship
 - Inheritance, composition, aggregation, using

Cohesion

- Cohesive component is focused on a single activity
 - Parser: apply grammar rules to each semiExp
 - Rule: detect a specific grammatical structure
 - Semi: gather tokens for analysis
 - Toker: generate tokens from file

Coupling

How is data passed to functions?

- **Narrow coupling**
 - Only a few arguments
- **Normal coupling**
 - Requires no knowledge of the design of arguments or their references
 - No pointers, no structures
- **Properly scoped**
 - Explicitly entered into scope
 - Passed as argument
 - Declared in local scope
- **No assumption coupling**

Locality of Reference

- References to local data are easier to understand
 - We see the declaration
 - Know all the qualifiers
- Non local references can be powerful
 - Inheritance: base may be defined elsewhere
 - Composition: Composed may be defined elsewhere
 - Delegates: called functions may be defined elsewhere
- Global data is poster child for non-local reference
 - Hard to understand
 - Not powerful in any sense

Size and Complexity

- Large and complex modules and functions are:
 - Hard to understand
 - Hard to test
 - Hard to maintain
 - Hard to document
- Complex functionality + small simple modules
 - implies Lots of modules
 - implies need for fine-grained configuration control

Use of Objects

- Class is a form of information cluster
 - Provides a simple abstraction
 - Hides possibly complex implementation behind simple interface
 - Provides methods guaranteed to maintain integrity of state data while supporting user's data transformations
 - Class is responsible for managing all its needed resources
 - Manual page and test stub provide a lot of self documentation
- Inheritance, composition, aggregation, and using relationships provide effective modeling tools

Performance

- Performance is determined by:
 - Locality of calls
 - Within process, within machine, within network, across internet
 - Caching
 - Avoid unnecessary calls
 - Algorithms
 - Log, linear, log-linear, power law, exponential
 - Memory foot-print
 - Affects rate of page faults
 - Creation of copies
 - Creation and destruction of objects

Object Oriented Design

- Structuring design with classes and class relationships
 - Develop application-side objects:
 - Executive, WorkingSet (inputs), Analysis, Display
 - Supports reasoning about application
 - Requirements
 - Principles of operation
 - Develop solution-side objects:
 - Socket, SocketListener, BlockHandler
 - Supports reasoning about solution
 - Performance
 - Quality
 - Errors and Test

Design Principles

- **LSP** supports loose coupling
 - Don't need to bind to concrete names
- **OCP** demands flexibility and extendability
 - Don't modify, do extend
- **DIP** avoids rigid coupling
 - Depend on abstraction not implementation
- **ISP** supports cohesion
 - Factor to avoid bloated interfaces with inadvertent coupling of clients

Class Relationships

- Classes support several types of relationships:
 - **Inheritance** supports substitution
 - Derived classes are subtypes of base class
 - Derived class has access to public and protected, but not private members of base class
 - **Composition** supports ownership
 - Composed classes provide functionality through their public interfaces
 - Composer has no special access to private or protected members of composed
 - **Using** provides access to an object
 - Provides access to public members of an object without ownership

Inheritance Relationship

- Inheritance comes in two flavors
 - Inheritance of interface
 - Provides a public contract for service, but no implementation
 - `interface ISomeIF { ... } in C#`
 - `struct ISomeIF { // all pure virtual methods }; in C++`
 - Inheritance of implementation
 - Provides a public interface
 - Provides implementation of one or more functions, fields, properties, and/or delegates in C#
 - Provides implementation of one or more functions and/or fields in C++

Inheritance Relationship

- Inheritance
 - “is-a”
 - Supports substitutability (polymorphism)
 - IMessagePass provides contract
 - Allows posting message to any substitute:
 - Executive, Comm, ToolUI, ToolLib
 - Supports inheritance of implementation
 - AWrapper provides:
 - BlockingQueue
 - asynchronous dequeuing on child thread

Inheritance Relationship

- A frequently recurring idiom is to provide three levels:
 - An interface providing a contract for service
 - An abstract class that provides the common part of an implementation for all derived classes
 - Derived concrete classes that complete the functionality provided by the hierarchy
- This is just what the ADAM Prototype does
- Note:

It is considered to be a serious design flaw to have a deep inheritance hierarchy with concrete classes deriving from other concrete classes.

Composition Relationship

- Composition comes in two flavors:
 - **Strong Composition** supports a strong form of ownership
 - Composed lifetime is same as that of composer
 - Makes an instance of composed a field of composer
 - Supported by C++ but not by C# or Java
 - **Weak Composition** (Aggregation) supports a weaker form of ownership
 - Composer creates and disposes the composed in member functions
 - Composer holds references to composed objects on the heap
 - Supported by C++, C#, Java

Composition Relationship

- Composition
 - “owned-by”, “part-of”
 - Provides layering
 - Supports building incrementally
 - Supports decomposition of testing
 - Provides strong encapsulation

Using Relationship

- Using
 - “used by”
 - References to “used” passed as arguments of a member function
 - User not responsible for creation or disposal

Implementation

- A module consists of:
 - Prologue identifying
 - Module
 - Platform
 - Application
 - Author
 - Manual page that discusses
 - Module operations
 - Its public interface
 - Maintenance Page
 - Build process
 - Maintenance History
 - Code structured as interfaces and classes
 - A test stub, e.g., a Main function surrounded by compilation constant guards

Modules in C#

- A GUI module consists of:
 - Three files defining a Form
 - A file containing event handlers
 - A file containing control declarations and designer code
 - A file containing resource information as an XML schema
 - A file providing a Main function that runs the form application
- A Console module consists of:
 - A file containing a class with a Main function
- A Library module consists of:
 - A single file containing one or more classes

Modules in C++

- Managed C++
 - GUI modules, Console Applications, and Library modules have the same structure as C# modules
- Unmanaged (standard native) C++
 - We tend not to build GUI modules in unmanaged C++
 - Console executive modules consist of one file that contains:
 - zero or more classes
 - one global main function
 - Library modules consist of two files
 - Header file with:
 - class declarations
 - inline function definitions
 - template class and function definitions
 - Implementation file with class member definitions

What makes a good implementation?

- Proper Encapsulation
 - No public data
 - Any functions that require design knowledge to call properly are private
- Error Handling
 - Input data is validated, especially strings and paths
 - Use Exception handling
- Make assumptions explicit
 - Use manual page to disclose any assumptions made about callers
- Make low-level modules reusable

What makes a poor implementation?

- Vague or imprecise abstractions:
 - Manual page should be clear, concise, and effective
 - Public interface should be small and consistent with the module's abstraction
- Lack of design modularity, encapsulation, and layering
 - Should have an executive module and server modules
 - Every form should delegate all of its computations to testable libraries
 - Oversize or complex functions
 - Modules and functions with poor cohesion
- Latent defects
- Unhandled exceptions

End of Presentation